arXiv:math/0305394v3 [math.AG] 21 Nov 2003

A RIGIDITY THEOREM FOR LAGRANGIAN DEFORMATIONS

MAURICIO D. GARAY

Abstract. We consider deformations of singular Lagrangian varieties in symplectic spaces. We prove that a Lagrangian deformation of a Lagrangian complete intersection is analytically rigid provided that this is the case infinitesimally. This result solves a problem posed by Colin de Verdière concerning Lagrangian curves. Finally, we prove the coherence of the direct image sheaves of relative infinitesimal Lagrangian deformations.

Introduction

The study of deformations of quantum integrable systems in the semi-classical limit is governed by the deformation of their characteristic varieties. Namely, to the symplectic equivalence of characteristic varieties corresponds the equivalence of the ideal generated by the corresponding micro-differential operators up to right multiplication by a micro-elliptic operator (see e.g. [3]). For quantum integrable systems, the characteristic variety is a Lagrangian variety which is as a general rule a singular complete intersection with non-isolated singularities. The singularities of this variety reflect the complexity of the quantum system, for instance the singular Bohr-Sommerfeld rules or the asymptotic behaviour of the spectrum (see e.g. [4], [5]).

Following a previous work of Pham ([18], see also [15]), Colin de Verdière proved a microlocal versal deformation theorem for a particular class of one-dimensional microdifferential equations ([2]). Using the above correspondance, the theorem was stated as a Lagrangian versal deformation theorem for monomial deformations of quasi-homogeneous Lagrangian curve germs. Then, Colin de Verdière posed the problem of the existence of a Lagrangian versal deformation theorem for general Lagrangian curve germs. In this paper, we prove a rigidity theorem for Lagrangian varieties which solves this problem positively when applied to the case of Lagrangian curves.

This paper is organised as follows.

We start the first section by adapting to the relative case the deformation theory that was considered by Sevenheck and van Straten for Lagrangian singularities ([21], [20]). Following Sevenheck and van Straten, we introduce a complex of sheaves, called the *Lagrange complex*, whose first cohomology

---

Date: Novenber 2003.

Key words and phrases. Normal form theory, Symplectic geometry, integrable systems, Lagrangian varieties.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 70H06 and 32S65.

sheaf computes the sheaf of local infinitesimal Lagrangian deformation modulo trivial ones. Then we recall the main result of [11] namely the freness of the direct image sheaves of the relative Lagrange complex for infinitesimally Lagrangian versal deformations.
For smooth Lagrangian manifolds, the Lagrange complex is canonically isomorphic to the holomorphic de Rham complex. For general Lagrangian varieties the relation between the Lagrange complex and the de Rham complex is more subtle and will not be investigated in this paper.

In the second section, we state the rigidity theorem and its main corollary which answers positively to the problem of Colin de Verdière. This rigidity theorem is a weaker version of a conjectural Lagrangian versal deformation theorem. We point out that we consider only a naive viewpoint of versality namely versality over a smooth basis. We choose the name rigidity rather than stability because we reserve the notion of stability to momentum mappings ([10]).
In the third section, the proof of the rigidity theorem is given.
In the fourth section, we state and prove the coherence of the direct image sheaves of the relative Lagrange complex. The proof is based on a practical corollary of the Kiehl-Verdier theorem. Once this corollary is established, we just adapt to the relative case the proof given by Sevenheck and van Straten for the finiteness of the cohomology sheaves of the Lagrange complex ([21]). This coherence result is used in the proof of the rigidity theoremand in the proof of the main theorem of [11].
In the appendix, we prove a corollary to the Kiehl-Verdier theorem which was used in section 4 to establish the coherence theorem.
The results of this paper can be easily adapted for real analytic Lagrangian varieties. The formal aspect of the theory is treated in Sevenheck's thesis ([20]).

Acknowledgements. It is a pleasure to thank D. van Straten for numerous discussions from which this work originated and C. Sevenheck for his explanations on singular Lagrangian varieties. The author also acknowledge M. Chaperon, P. Dingoyan, H. Eliasson E. Ferrand, B. Teissier, C. Voisin. Last but not least, the author thanks Y. Colin de Verdière whose work and questions motivated the present research. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project no. SPP 1094).

1. Lagrangian deformations

The complex of infinitesimal Lagrangian deformations is a particular case of the complex which computes the deformations of Lie algebroids (see e.g. [16]). It was introduced for the study of Lagrangian singularities in [21]. For a detailed exposition of the deformation theory of Lagrangian singularities, we refer to Sevenheck's thesis ([20]).

1.1. **Deformations of real compact Lagrangian manifolds.** To understand the construction of the Lagrange complex, it is useful to investigate the deformations of a smooth compact Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. For such a manifold, the Darboux-Weinstein theorem asserts that there exists a symplectomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \longrightarrow T^*L$$

which maps a tubular neighbourhood of $L \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ to a tubular neighbourhood of the zero section in the cotangent bundle T^*L to L (see e.g. [25]).

Via the map φ, a small one-parameter deformation (L_t) of L is mapped to the family of graphs of a one parameter family of maps

$$\alpha_t : L \longrightarrow T^*L$$

that is, to a family of differential one forms.

It is readily verified that L_t is Lagrangian if the one-form α_t is closed and that L_t is Hamiltonian isotopic to $L_0 = L$ if α_t is exact.

Consequently, the space of deformations of L over some base Λ modulo Hamiltonian isotopies is parameterised by the maps from Λ to the first de Rham cohomology group $H^1(L, \mathbb{R})$ of L sending $0 \in \Lambda$ to $0 \in H^1(L, \mathbb{R})$. For the global study of deformations of complex Lagrangian manifolds, we refer to [24].

1.2. **The relative Lagrange complex.** We consider a complex manifold M of dimension $2n$ together with a holomorphic symplectic two form $\omega \in \Omega^2_M(M)$.

The Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$ of two holomorphic functions $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_M(U)$ is defined by the formula

$$\{f, g\}\, \omega^n = df \wedge dg \wedge \omega^{n-1}.$$

Recall that a *Lagrangian submanifold* of M is an n-dimensional holomorphic manifold on which the symplectic form vanishes. By Lagrangian variety $L \subset M$, we mean a reduced complex space of pure dimension n defined by an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}_L$ such that which is closed under the Poisson bracket. This means that

$$\{f, g\} \in \mathcal{I}_L(U)$$

whenever $f, g \in \mathcal{I}_L(U)$.

We consider now the situation with parameters. Let Λ be a complex space (the parameter space) with a marked point, denoted by 0, on it. Unless specific mention, we assume that Λ is a smooth complex manifold. The Poisson bracket on M lifts to an $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$-linear Poisson bracket on $M \times \Lambda$. We shall say that a variety

$$L \subset (M \times \Lambda)$$

is a Lagrange variety if its projects one-to-one to a Lagrange variety of M. Let $Z \subset (M \times \Lambda)$ be a reduced complex subspace with ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I}$. A map

$$\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

is called a *Lagrangian deformation* of its 0-fibre if φ is a flat deformation and if the fibres of φ are reduced Lagrangian varieties.
For a given $f = f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge f_k \in \bigwedge^k \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$, we denote by $f^j \in \bigwedge^{k-1} \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ the element

$$f^j = (-1)^j f_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{f}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge f_k.$$

The element $(f^i)^j \in \bigwedge^{k-2} \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2$ is denoted by $f^{i,j}$.

Definition. The *relative Lagrange complex*, denoted $(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda}, \delta)$, of the Lagrangian deformation $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$ is the complex of sheaves on Z defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{Z/\Lambda} = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\bigwedge^k \mathcal{I}/\mathcal{I}^2, \mathcal{O}_Z)$$

and the k^{th} differential $\delta : \mathcal{C}^k_{Z/\Lambda} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{k+1}_{Z/\Lambda}$ is given by

$$\delta[\varphi](f) = \sum_{1 \le i \le k} \left\{ f_i, \varphi(f^i) \right\} - \sum_{1 \le i < j \le k} \varphi(\{f_i, f_j\} \wedge f^{i,j}).$$

Notation. If L is a Lagrange variety then the *Lagrange complex* of L, denoted by $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_L$ (which is defined in [21]) is the relative Lagrange complex of the constant deformation

$$\varphi : L \longrightarrow \{0\}\,.$$

1.3. **Equivalence of Lagrangian deformations.**

Definition. A Lagrangian deformation-germ $\varphi' : (Z', 0) \longrightarrow (\Lambda', 0)$ is called $\mathcal{L}$*-induced* (resp. $\mathcal{L}$*-equivalent*) from (resp. to) $\varphi : (Z, 0) \longrightarrow (\Lambda, 0)$ if there exists a Poisson mapping (resp. a biholomorphic Poisson mapping) g which makes the following diagram commute

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(Z', 0) \arrow[r, "g"] \arrow[d, "\varphi'"] & (Z, 0) \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
(\Lambda', 0) \arrow[r] & (\Lambda, 0)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Definition. A Lagrangian deformation-germ $\varphi : (Z, 0) \longrightarrow (\Lambda, 0)$ is called *rigid* if any deformation-germ $\varphi' : (Z', 0) \longrightarrow (\Lambda \times T, 0)$ such that the restriction of φ' above $\Lambda \times \{0\}$ equals φ, is induced from φ.

A deformation

$$\varphi : (Z, 0) \longrightarrow (\Lambda, 0), \quad (\Lambda, 0) \approx (\mathbb{C}^k, 0)$$

of a Lagrangian variety L is called $\mathcal{L}$*-versal*, if any deformation of L over any smooth basis is induced from φ.
After a choice of local coordinates in $M \times \Lambda$ at a point x, the ideal of Z at x is generated by holomorphic function-germs

$$F_1, \dots, F_p : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0).$$

We say that $F = (F_1, \dots, F_p)$ is the deformation of the germ of L at p, where $L \times \{0\}$ is the 0-fibre of φ.

1.4. **The Lagrangian Kodaira-Spencer map.** Consider a deformation

$$F : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0), \quad (\lambda, x) \mapsto (F_1(\lambda, x), \dots, F_n(\lambda, x))$$

of a Lagrangian complete intersection germ L. As L is a complete intersection, we get identifications

$$\mathcal{C}^k_{Z/\Lambda} \approx \bigwedge^k \mathcal{O}^n_{Z/\Lambda}$$

given by the choice of the F_i's.
We define a *Kodaira Spencer map* by

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \theta_F : & T_0\mathbb{C}^k & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,0}) \\ & v & \mapsto & [(DF.v)] \end{array}$$

For instance

$$\theta_F(\partial_{\lambda_i}) = [(\partial_{\lambda_i} F_1, \dots, \partial_{\lambda_i} F_n)].$$

This Kodaira-Spencer map is well-defined, indeed differentiating the following equality along v

$$\{F_j, F_k\} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i F_i$$

we get that

$$\{dF_j.v, F_k\} + \{F_j, dF_k.v\} = \sum_{i=1}^n (da_i.v) F_i + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (dF_i.v)$$

which implies that the mapping $DF.v$ defines a coboundary in $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,0}$.

Definition. The map $\theta_F : T_0\mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,0})$ defined above is called the *relative Lagrangian Kodaira-Spencer map* of F.

By restriction to $\lambda = 0$, we define an *absolute Kodaira-Spencer mapping*:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \bar{\theta}_F : & T_0\mathbb{C}^k & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0}), \\ & v & \mapsto & [(DF.v)_{|\lambda=0}] \end{array}$$

and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,0}) \arrow[d, "r"] \\
T_0\Lambda \arrow[ur, "\theta_F"] \arrow[r, "\bar{\theta}_F"] & H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0})
\end{tikzcd}$$

We denote by $\mathcal{L}T^1_L$ the sheaf on L of deformations of a Lagrangian variety $L \subset M$ over the double point $Spec(\mathbb{C}[t]/t^2)$ modulo $\mathcal{L}$-equivalence. The proof of the following proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 1 ([21]). *The Lagrangian Kodaira-Spencer map gives an identification between the sheaf* $\mathcal{L}T^1_L$ *and the cohomology sheaf* $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_L)$.

1.5. **Pyramidal deformations.**

Following [21], we introduce a class of singularity which plays the role of isolated singularities in symplectic geometry. On the total space Z of the deformation

$$\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

of a Lagrangian variety $L \subset M$ a stratification is defined as follows:
Let $f_1, \dots, f_k$ be generators of the ideal of Z at a point x. Denote by $X_1, \dots, X_k$ be the Hamilton vector-fields of $f_1, \dots, f_k$ and by $l(x)$ the dimension of the vector spaces generated by the X_i's at x. The strata Z_j is defined by:

$$Z_j = \{x \in Z : l(x) = j\}.$$

We have $Z = \bigcup_{j=0}^n Z_j$ where $2n = dim(M)$.
The following notion was introduced in [21] for Lagrangian varieties where it is called "condition (P)".

Definition. A Lagrangian deformation $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$ is called *pyramidal* if for any k the variety Z_k is of relative dimension at most k.

Proposition 2. *The germ of a pyramidal deformation* $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$ *at a point* $x \in Z_k$ *is* $\mathcal{L}$*-equivalent to a deformation-germ of the type*

$$\varphi' : (Z' \times \mathbb{C}^k, 0) \longrightarrow (\Lambda, 0),\ Z' \subset \mathbb{C}^{2n-2k},\ \mathbb{C}^k \subset \mathbb{C}^{2k}$$

which is constant on the second factor. Moreover, this decomposition induces a quasi-isomorphism between the complexes $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,x}$ *and* $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z'/\Lambda,0}$.

The proof of this proposition is straightforward, it is based on a simple symplectic reduction argument (in the absolute case see e.g. [21]).

Theorem 1 ([21]). *If* $(L, 0)$ *is the germ of a pyramidal Lagrangian variety then the cohomology space* $H^k(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0})$ *are finite dimensional vector spaces.*

Conjecture. If $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_L)$ is finite and if L is a complete intersection then L is pyramidal.

Finally, we recall the main theorem of [11].

Theorem 2 ([11]). *Let* $\varphi : (Z, 0) \longrightarrow (\Lambda, 0)$ *be the germ of a Lagrangian deformation of a pyramidal Lagrangian variety* L*. Assume that the Kodaira-Spencer map*

$$\bar{\theta}_F : T_0\Lambda \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0})$$

is surjective. Then, the $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda,0}$*-module* $H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,0})$ *is free and we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,0}) \approx \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda,0} \otimes H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0}).$$

The proof of this theorem used the coherence theorem (Theorem 6,section 4). Therefore, to avoid cross references it will not be used.

2. The rigidity theorem

2.1. Lagrangian versal deformation theorem of Colin de Verdière.

The following result is, according to Colin de Verdière, "the main non-trivial result" of [2].

Theorem 3 ([2]). *A monomial Lagrangian deformation* $F : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^2, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ *of a quasi-homogeneous Lagrangian curve-germ* $(L, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ *with an isolated singular point is* $\mathcal{L}$*-versal provided that the absolute Kodaira-Spencer map associated to* F *is surjective.*

Remark (see e.g. [2] *or* [9] *for details).* The surjectivity of the Kodaira-Spencer map is easily verified. Namely, denote by f a reduced equation of L. Then, as shown by Brieskorn ([1]) there is a canonical identification

$$H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0}) \approx \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}/Jf$$

induced by the identity mapping. Here Jf denotes the Jacobian ideal of f. Take a monomial basis of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}/Jf$ and lift it to

$$e_1, \dots, e_\mu \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2,0}.$$

The quoted theorem of Colin de Verdière states that the deformation

$$f + \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \lambda_i e_i$$

is $\mathcal{L}$-versal.

2.2. The rigidity theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let* $F : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ *be a Lagrangian deformation of a Lagrangian complete intersection-germ* $(L, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0)$. *Assume that*

1. $(L, 0)$ *is pyramidal,*
2. *the absolute Kodaira-Spencer map*

$$\bar{\theta}_F : T_0\mathbb{C}^k \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0})$$

associated to F *is surjective.*

Then, the Lagrangian deformation F *is rigid.*

Example. Consider the germ at the origin of the Lagrangian variety

$$L = \left\{(q, p) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} : q_1p_1 = q_2p_2 = \cdots = q_np_n = 0\right\}$$

and let $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$ be the deformation defined by

$$F_i = q_ip_i + \lambda_i.$$

The Lagrangian Kodaira-Spencer maps $\partial_{\lambda_1}, \dots, \partial_{\lambda_n}$ to the cohomology classes

$$[(1, 0, \dots, 0)], \dots, [(0, \dots, 0, 1)].$$

A straightforward computation shows that they generate $H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0})$.
Thus, the Lagrangian deformation $F = f + (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ is rigid. This is a

variant of the famous Vey-Rüssmann theorem for separable integrable systems ([19], [23], [8]). (In fact using the results of this paper together with that of [10], a new proof of this theorem can be derived.)

The following result answers positively to Colin de Verdière's problem.

THEOREM 5. *A Lagrangian deformation* $F : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^2, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ *of a Lagrangian curve-germ* $(L, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ *with an isolated singular point is* $\mathcal{L}$*-versal provided that the absolute Kodaira-Spencer map associated to* F *is surjective.*

Proof. We follow standard arguments due to Martinet for the case of singularity theory of differentiable maps ([17]).
Put $f = F(0, .)$ and let G be an arbitrary s-parametric Lagrangian deformation of $f = F(0, .)$. We have to prove that G is $\mathcal{L}$-induced from F. To do this, we define the sum of F and G by the formula

$$(F \oplus G)(\alpha, \lambda, x) = F(\lambda, x) + G(\alpha, x) - f.$$

The restriction of this deformation to $\lambda = 0$ is equal to G. Consequently it is sufficient to prove that $F \oplus G$ is $\mathcal{L}$-induced from F. Denote by F_j the restriction of $F \oplus G$ to $\alpha_j = \cdots = \alpha_s = 0$. We have $F_1 = F$ and $F_s = F \oplus G$. The rigidity theorem implies that F_j is $\mathcal{L}$-induced from F_{j-1}. By induction, we get that F_j is $\mathcal{L}$-induced from F_{j-k}. In particular, $F_s = F \oplus G$ is $\mathcal{L}$-induced from $F_1 = F$. □

Remark. In case $n > 1$, we cannot use Martinet's argument since $F \oplus G$ is in general not a Lagrangian deformation.

3. PROOF OF THE RIGIDITY THEOREM.

3.1. Infinitesimal formulation of the problem.

Let

$$G : (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0), \quad (t, \lambda, x) \mapsto G(t, \lambda, x)$$

be a deformation of

$$F : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0), \quad (\lambda, x) \mapsto F(\lambda, x).$$

The aim of this subsection is to prove the following assertion.
Assertion. *The deformation* G *is* $\mathcal{L}$*-induced from* F *provided that there exist function-germs* $h \in \mathcal{O}_{2n+k+1}, b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathcal{O}_{k+1}$ *and a matrix* $B \in gl(n, \mathcal{O}_{2n+k+1})$ *solving the equation*

$$\{h, G\} + BG + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \partial_{\lambda_i} G = -\partial_t G. \tag{3.1}$$

We search for a relative symplectomorphism-germ

$$\varphi : (\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0), \quad (t, \lambda, x) \longrightarrow \varphi(t, \lambda, x)$$

and a matrix $A \in GL(n, \mathcal{O}_{2n+k+1})$ such that the following equalities hold

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} F & = & A_\tau(G_\tau \circ \varphi_\tau), \\ (A_0, \varphi_0) & = & (I, Id). \end{array}\right. \tag{3.2}$$

We have used the standard notations $I \in GL(n, \mathcal{O}_{2n+k+1})$ for the identity matrix, Id for the identity mapping in $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$, A_τ for $A(\tau, ., .)$ and so on. Differentiating the first equation of the system (3.2) with respect to τ at $\tau = t$, we get the equation

$$A_t \frac{d}{d\tau}_{|\tau=t}(G_t \circ \varphi_\tau) + (\frac{d}{d\tau}_{|\tau=t} A_\tau)(G_t \circ \varphi_t) + A_t(\frac{d}{d\tau}_{|\tau=t} G_\tau) \circ \varphi_t = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Define the time-dependent vector field germ v_t and the matrix $B \in gl(n, \mathcal{O}_{2n+k+1})$ by the formulas

$$\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} v_t(\varphi_t(\lambda, x)) & = & \frac{d}{d\tau}_{|\tau=t} \varphi_\tau(\lambda, x), \\ (A_t B_t) \circ \varphi_t & = & \frac{d}{d\tau}_{|\tau=t} A_\tau. \end{array}\right.$$

Multiplying equation (3.3) on the right by φ_t^{-1} and on the left by A_t^{-1}, we get the equation

$$L_{v_t} G_t + B_t G_t + \partial_t G_t = 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Standard theorems on differential equations imply (φ_τ, A_τ) satisfying the system (3.2) can be found provided that there exist (v_t, B_t) satisfying equation (3.4). Until here our arguments have been standard and hold for most of the versal deformation theorems. We now come to the specificity of our situation. Because the vector field $v(t, .) = v_t$ comes from a relative symplectomorphism-germ, it is of the type

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_i \partial_{x_i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \partial_{\lambda_i}, \; a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{2n+k+1}, \; b_i \in \mathcal{O}_{k+1}$$

where the vector field $w = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} a_i \partial_{x_i}$ is the Hamiltonian field of some function-germ $h \in \mathcal{O}_{2n+k+1}$. Consequently, equation (3.4) can be written in the form

$$\{h, G\} + BG + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \partial_{\lambda_i} G = -\partial_t G. \tag{3.5}$$

This proves our assertion.

3.2. **Solving the infinitesimal equation.**

We interpret Equation (3.1) in cohomological terms.

Let $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$ and $\varphi' : Z' \longrightarrow \Lambda'$ be representatives for F and G. We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
T_0\Lambda' \arrow[r, "\theta_G"] \arrow[d, shift left, "\pi"] & H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z'/\Lambda',0}) \arrow[d, "r_1"] \\
T_0\Lambda \arrow[r, "\theta_F"] \arrow[u, bend left, "i"] \arrow[dr, "\bar{\theta}_F"] & H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,0}) \arrow[d, "r_2"] \\
 & H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0})
\end{tikzcd}$$

The maps θ_G and θ_F are relative Kodaira-Spencer maps, while $\bar{\theta}_F$ is the absolute one. The maps π, i are the canonical projection and injection induced by the product structure $(\Lambda', 0) \approx (\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}, 0)$. The map r_1 is the restriction to $t = 0$ and the map r_2 is the restriction to $\lambda = 0$.
In this setting, Equation (3.1) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \theta_G(\partial_{\lambda_i}) = -\theta_G(\partial_t), \qquad b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathcal{O}_{\Lambda',0}. \tag{3.6}$$

(We have used the notation $\theta_G(\partial_{\lambda_i})$ rather than $[\partial_{\lambda_i} G]$ to underline in which space we take the cohomology class.)
Equation (3.6) can be solved provided that the cohomology class $\theta_G(\partial_t)$ belongs to the $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda',0}$-module generated by the $\theta_G(\partial_{\lambda_i})$'s.

As $\bar{\theta}_F$ factors through θ_F, the restriction to zero map

$$R : H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z'/\Lambda',0})/(\mathcal{M}_{\Lambda',0} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda',0}} H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z'/\Lambda',0})) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0})$$

is surjective.
Assertion. *The map R is an isomorphism.*
The assertion follows from Theorem 2 (section 1.5) but in order to be self-contained, we prove it.
Let us denote by

$$\varphi_p : Z_p \longrightarrow \Lambda_p, \quad p = -1, 0, \dots, k$$

the restriction of φ' above

$$\Lambda_p = \left\{(t, \lambda) \in \Lambda' : \lambda_0 = \dots = \lambda_p = 0\right\}, \quad \lambda_0 = t, \quad \Lambda_{-1} = \Lambda'.$$

We have exact sequences of complexes

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_p/\Lambda_p,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_p/\Lambda_p,0} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+1},0} \longrightarrow 0$$

These exact sequences induce long exact sequences in cohomology

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H^k(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_p/\Lambda_p,0}) \longrightarrow H^k(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+1},0}) \longrightarrow H^{k+1}(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_p/\Lambda_p,0}) \longrightarrow \cdots.$$

It is readily seen that the module $H^0(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_p/\Lambda_p,0})$ can be identified with the module $\varphi_p^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda_p,0})$. Thus, in the exact sequence the map

$$H^0(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_p/\Lambda_p,0}) \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+1},0})$$

is surjective and therefore the exact sequence splits. This shows that the induced maps

$$\frac{H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_p/\Lambda_p,0})}{\lambda_{p+1}H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_p/\Lambda_p,0})} \longrightarrow H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z_{p+1}/\Lambda_{p+1},0})$$

are injective. Finally, the injectivity of those maps implies in turn that the map R is injective. This proves the assertion.

The coherence theorem for the direct image sheaves of the relative Lagrange complex (Theorem 6, section 4) and the fact that these sheaves are concentrated at the origin (Proposition 3, section 4) imply that the $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda',0}$-module $H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z'/\Lambda',0})$ is of finite type. Therefore, using the previous assertion and the Nakayama lemma, we get that the system of generators

$$\{\bar{\theta}_F(\partial_{\lambda_1}), \dots, \bar{\theta}_F(\partial_{\lambda_k})\}$$

of the vector space $H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{L,0})$ lifts to a system of generators

$$\{\theta_G(\partial_{\lambda_1}), \dots, \theta_G(\partial_{\lambda_k})\}$$

of the $\mathcal{O}_{\Lambda',0}$-module $H^1(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z'/\Lambda',0})$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.

4. The coherence theorem

For the proof of the rigidity theorem to be complete, we need to prove the coherence of the direct image sheaves of the Lagrange complex and the concentration at the origin of these sheaves. This is done in this section. Our proof of the coherence follows that of Sevenheck and van Straten in the absolute case. It is similar to that of Greuel ([12]) for the de Rham complex, the idea of which goes back to Brieskorn ([1]). The main technical part to be proven is to adapt the Kiehl-Verdier theorem to our situation. This is done in the appendix.

4.1. **Coherence of the direct image sheaves.** We will say that a topological manifold with boundary X is *complex* if there exists a one parameter family of complex variety Y_t such that

1. $Y_t \subseteq Y_{t'}$ for $t' > t$,
2. X is equal to the closure of Y_0 in Y_t for any $t > 0$.

For a given holomorphic map

$$\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

we say that φ *extends* to $\bar{Z}$ if φ is the restriction of a deformation

$$\varphi' : Y_t \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

for any $t > 0$. In such a case, we denote by

$$\bar{\varphi} : \bar{Z} \longrightarrow \Lambda$$

the map obtained by extending φ to the boundary of Z.

Now we assume that φ is the deformation of a Lagrange variety. Recall that the direct image image sheaves $\mathbb{R}^p\varphi_*\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda}$ are defined by the pre-sheaves

$$U \mapsto \mathbb{H}^p(\varphi^{-1}(U), \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda}).$$

Theorem 6. *Let $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$ be a Lagrangian deformation such that*

1. *φ is pyramidal and extends to $\bar{Z}$,*
2. *the fibres of $\bar{\varphi} : \bar{Z} \longrightarrow \bar{\Lambda}$ are transverse to the boundary of Z.*

Then, the direct image sheaves $\mathbb{R}^p\varphi_*\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda}$ are coherent sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ modules.

For the case of a mapping to a point

$$\varphi : L \longrightarrow \{0\}$$

we recover the Sevenheck-van Straten finiteness theorem ([21]).

4.2. **Proof of the coherence theorem.** According to Theorem 10 which is proved in the appendix, it sufficies to construct a *transversal datum* (θ_i, U_i) to $(\varphi, \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda})$ i.e. a covering (U_i) of L together with a collection of C^∞ vector fields (θ_i) such that θ_i is transverse to the boundary of U_i and the cohomology of the sheaves $\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda}$ are constant along the integral lines of θ_i. The construction of such a field is local, it is therefore sufficient to consider the case where

1. $Z = U$ is a subvariety of some relatively compact open Stein space $U \subset (M \times \Lambda)$,
2. $\bar{Z} \subset (M \times \Lambda)$ is compact,
3. the fibres of $\bar{\varphi} : \bar{Z} \longrightarrow \bar{\Lambda}$ intersect the boundary of $\bar{Z}$ transversally.

That φ is pyramidal implies that for any point $x \in \partial\bar{Z}$ there exists a holomorphic function $h \in \mathcal{I}_x$ such that the Hamilton vector field X_h of h is transverse to $\partial\bar{Z}$ and points towards Z. Moreover, Proposition 2 (section 1.5) implies that the sheaves $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda})$ are constant along the integral lines of X_h. Consequently, we can take a covering (V_k) of the boundary of Z in $M \times \Lambda$ such that there exists a transversal Hamiltonian vector field X_k in V_k.

Let (ψ_k, V_k) be a partition of the unity and define the C^∞ vector-field

$$\theta = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \psi_k X_k.$$

This vector field is transversal to $(\varphi, \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda})$. This proves the theorem.

4.3. Concentration and freeness of the higher direct image sheaves.

Consider a pyramidal Lagrangian deformation germ

$$F : (\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}, 0) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0).$$

The deformation $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow \Lambda$ is called a *standard representative* of F if φ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 6. The existence of such a representative follows from the definition of a pyramidal deformation.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $\varphi : Z \longrightarrow (\Lambda, 0)$ be a standard representative of the germ of a Lagrangian deformation of a pyramidal Lagrangian variety L. Then, there is a canonical isomorphism*

$$(\mathbb{R}^p \varphi_* \mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda})_0 \approx H^p(\mathcal{C}^{\cdot}_{Z/\Lambda,0}).$$

The proof of the proposition is a straightforward variant of the corresponding result due to van Straten for hypersurface singularities ([21]). Consequently, it will not be given.

5. Appendix:The shrinking theorem

5.1. **The Kiehl-Verdier theorem.**

Theorem 7 ([14],[6]). *Let* $A = (A_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ *be a nuclear chain of Fréchet algebras. Let* $E^\cdot, F^\cdot$ *be finite complexes of* A_0*-modules such that* A *is transverse to the* E^k*'s and the* F^k*'s. Assume that there exists a morphism of complexes* $f : E^\cdot \longrightarrow F^\cdot$ *such that*

1. f *is a quasi-isomorphism,*
2. *the maps* $f^k : E^k \longrightarrow F^k$ *are* A_0*-subnuclear*

Then there exists a complex $L^\cdot$ *of free* A_1 *modules of finite type and a quasi-isomorphism* $h : L^\cdot \longrightarrow A_1 \hat{\otimes}_{A_0} E^\cdot$.

The definitions involved in the theorem (transversality, sub-nuclearity) will not be given, we refer to [7], [13] and [6]. Whatever these definitions are is in fact unimportant to apply the theorem in the complex holomorphic situation. Let $\mathcal{K}^\cdot$ be a complex of coherent sheaves on a complex variety $S \times X$. Let $s = (s_1, \dots, s_n), r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ be two sequences of real positive numbers satisfying $s_j < r_j$. Denote by $D_t, t \in [0,1]$ polydisks of radius $r - ts$ centered at the same point such that $D_0 \subset S$.
Let $Y \subset X$ be relatively compact subset i.e. a subset for which the closure $\bar{Y}$ of Y in X is compact. By applying the Kiehl-Verdier theorem with $A = (\mathcal{O}_S(D_t))$, $E^\cdot = \mathcal{K}^\cdot(D \times X)$ and $F^\cdot = \mathcal{K}^\cdot(D \times Y)$, we get the following complex analytic version of the Kiehl-Verdier theorem.

Theorem 8. *Assume that the restriction map* $r : E^\cdot \longrightarrow F^\cdot$ *is a quasi-isomorphism then there exists a complex* $L^\cdot$ *of free* $\mathcal{O}_S(D_1)$*-modules of finite type and a quasi-isomorphism* $h^\cdot : L^\cdot \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}^\cdot(D_1 \times X)$.

5.2. **The shrinking theorem.**

Let $f : X \longrightarrow S$ be a morphism between complex spaces and $\mathcal{K}^\cdot$ a complex of coherent sheaves on X with a differential which is $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$-linear.

Definition. The pair $(f, \mathcal{K}^\cdot)$ possess the *shrinking property* if there exists a relatively compact subvariety $Y \bar{\subset} X$ and open Stein coverings of X and Y $\underline{\mathrm{U}} = (U_i)$, $\underline{\mathrm{V}} = (V_i)$, where V_i is a relatively compact subset of U_i and such that the restriction maps

$$C^p(\underline{\mathrm{U}} \cap f^{-1}(D), \mathcal{K}^q) \longrightarrow C^p(\underline{\mathrm{V}} \cap f^{-1}(D), \mathcal{K}^q)$$

induce an isomorphism in hypercohomology

$$r_* : \mathbb{R}^\cdot f_* \mathcal{K}^\cdot \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^\cdot (f_{|Y})_* \mathcal{K}^\cdot.$$

Theorem 9. *If the map* $f : X \longrightarrow S$ *satisfies the shrinking property for a complex of sheaves* $\mathcal{K}^\cdot$ *then, the direct image sheaves* $\mathbb{R}^\cdot f_* \mathcal{K}^\cdot$ *are coherent.*

5.3. **Proof of the shrinking theorem.**

Denote by $D \subset S$ a Stein open neighbourhood of $s \in S$.

Consider the complexes of Fréchet spaces

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^{\cdot} : 0 \arrow[r] & E^0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "r_0"] & \cdots \arrow[r] & E^k \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "r_k"] & 0 \\
F^{\cdot} : 0 \arrow[r] & F^0 \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & F^k \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where

1. $E^i = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} C^p(\underline{\mathrm{U}} \cap f^{-1}(D), \mathcal{K}^q)$,
2. $F^i = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} C^p(\underline{\mathrm{V}} \cap f^{-1}(D), \mathcal{K}^q)$,
3. the differential in $E^{\cdot}$ and $F^{\cdot}$ equals $d \pm \delta$, where d is the Ĉech derivative and δ is the derivative of the complex $\mathcal{K}^{\cdot}$,
4. the map $r^{\cdot}$ is the restriction map.

The cohomology vector spaces of $E^{\cdot}$ and $F^{\cdot}$ are the hypercohomology vector spaces $\mathbb{H}^{\cdot}(f^{-1}(D), \mathcal{K}^{\cdot})$ and $\mathbb{H}^{\cdot}(f^{-1}(D) \cap Y, \mathcal{K}^{\cdot})$. Thus, by assumption the map r is a quasi-isomorphism.

The complex E^i is obtained as the space of sections over $X \times D$ of a complex of sheaves in $X \times S$. Namely, denote by $\mathcal{E}^{p,q}$ the coherent sheaf on $X \times S$ defined by the pre-sheaf

$$U \times U' \longrightarrow C^p(U \cap f^{-1}(U'), \mathcal{K}^q).$$

Put $\mathcal{E}^i = \bigoplus_{p+q=i} \mathcal{E}^{pq}$, then $\mathcal{E}^i(X \times D) = E^i$. Thus, the complex version of the Kiehl-Verdier theorem applies (Theorem 8). Denoting by $D_0 = D$ and D_t polydisks like in the preceding subsection, we get that there exists free $\mathcal{O}_S(D_1)$-modules of finite type L^p and a quasi-isomorphism

$$h^{\cdot} : L^{\cdot} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(X \times D_1).$$

We sheafify this result as follows.

Denote by k_p the rank of L^p so that $L^p \approx (\mathcal{O}_S(D_1))^{k_p}$ and let $\mathcal{L}^p$ be the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_S^{k_p}$. Since D_1 is a Stein open subset, the differential of the complex $L^{\cdot}$ induces a differential of the complex $\mathcal{L}^{\cdot}$.

We assert that the quasi-isomorphism $h^{\cdot}$ extends to a quasi-isomorphism of sheaf complexes

$$\tilde{h}^{\cdot} : \mathcal{L}^{\cdot} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\cdot}(X \times -).$$

Indeed, let $U \subset D_1$ be a Stein open subset. The map

$$1 \otimes h^p : \mathcal{O}_S(U) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_S(D_1)} L^p \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_S(U) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_S(D_1)} \mathcal{E}^p(D_1 \times X)$$

is a quasi-isomorphism provided that h^p is a quasi-isomorphism ([6], corollary to Proposition 3).

Moreover, we have canonical identifications ([13], section 4, Corollary 1)

$$\mathcal{O}_S(U) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_S(D_1)} L^p = \mathcal{L}^p(U)$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_S(U) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_S(D_1)} \mathcal{E}^p(X \times D_1) = \mathcal{E}^p(X \times U).$$

This proves the assertion. We get that

$$\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{L}^{\cdot})(U) \approx \mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{E})(X \times U) = \mathbb{H}^p(f^{-1}(U), \mathcal{K}^{\cdot}) = (\mathbb{R}^p f_* \mathcal{K}^{\cdot})(U)$$

Thus, the direct image sheaves $\mathbb{R}^p f_* \mathcal{K}^{\cdot}$ are coherent. This concludes the proof of the shrinking theorem.

5.4. **A van Straten type criterion.** We adapt the van Straten criterion for the coherence ([22]) to more general situations.
Let $\mathcal{K}^{\cdot}$ be a complex of coherent sheaves (bounded from the right) on a variety X and let $f : X \longrightarrow S$ be a holomorphic map. We assume that the differential of $\mathcal{K}^{\cdot}$ is $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ linear.

Definition ([22]). A *transversal vector field* to $(f, \mathcal{K}^{\cdot})$ in a Stein open subset $U \bar{\subset} X$ is a C^∞ vector field θ defined in a neighbourhood of $\partial\bar{U}$ in X satisfying the following conditions:

1. θ is transversal to the boundary of U,
2. the integral curves of θ are contained in the fibres of $f_{|U}$,
3. the restriction of the cohomology sheaves $\mathcal{H}^p(\mathcal{K}^{\cdot})$ to the integral curves of θ are constant sheaves.

Remark. The last condition means that any cohomology class can be locally represented by a set of holomorphic functions which are constant along the integral lines of θ.

Definition. A *transversal datum* to $(f, \mathcal{K}^{\cdot})$ is a set (θ_i, U_i), $i = 1, \dots, n$, where U_i is a Stein covering of X and θ_i is a transversal vector field to $(f, \mathcal{K}^{\cdot})$ in U_i.

Theorem 10. *The existence of a transversal datum to $(f, \mathcal{K}^{\cdot})$ implies the existence of a shrinking. In particular, it implies that the hypercohomology sheaves $\mathbb{R}^p f_* \mathcal{K}^{\cdot}$ are coherent.*

The proof of this theorem repeats that of Theorem 1 in [22].

References

1. E. Brieskorn, *Die Monodromie der isolierten Singularitäten von Hyperflächen*, Manuscr. Math. **2** (1970), 103–161.
2. Y. Colin de Verdière, *Singular lagrangian manifolds and semi-classical analysis*, Duke Math. Journal **116** (2003), no. 2, 263–298.
3. Y. Colin de Verdière and B. Parisse, *Equillibres instables en régime semi-classique I: concentration micro-locale*, Comm. PDE **19** (1994), 1535–1564.
4. ———, *Singular Bohr-Sommerfeld rules*, Commun. Math. Phys **205** (1999), 459–500.
5. M. Dimassi and J. Sjöstrand, *Spectral asymptotics in the semi-classical limit*, Lecture Note Series, no. 268, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
6. A. Douady, *Le théorème des images directes de Grauert (d'après Kiehl-Verdier)*, Astérisque **16** (1974), 49–62.
7. R. Douady, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Astérisque **16** (1974), 1–25.
8. H. Eliasson, *Normal forms for Hamiltonian systems with Poisson commuting integrals-elliptic case*, Commentar. Math. Helv. **65** (1990), no. 1, 4–35.

9. M.D. Garay, *An isochore versal deformation theorem*, http://enriques.mathematik.uni-mainz.de/ garay, to be published in Topology.
10. ———, *Stable moment mappings and singular lagrangian foliations*, http://enriques.mathematik.uni-mainz.de/ ˜ garay, Submitted to Journal of the London Math. Society.
11. M.D. Garay and D. van Straten, *On the topology of Lagrangian Milnor fibres*, Int. Math. Research Notices **35** (2003), 1933–1943.
12. G.-M. Greuel, *Der Gauss-Manin-Zusammenhang isolierter Singularitäten von vollständigen Durchschnitten*, Math. Ann. **214** (1975), 235–266.
13. J. Hubbard, *Transversalité*, Astérisque **16** (1974), 33–48.
14. R. Kiehl and J.L. Verdier, *Ein Einfacher Beweis des Kohärensatzes von Grauert*, Math. Annalen **195** (1971), 24–50.
15. V. Kostov, *Versal deformations of differential forms of degree α on a line.*, Funct. Analysis and its applications **18** (1984), no. 4, 335–337.
16. K. Mackenzie, *Lie groupoids and lie algebroids in differential geometry*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
17. J. Martinet, *Singularities of smooth functions and maps*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, 58. Cambridge University Press,, 1982.
18. F. Pham, *Multiple turning points in exact WKB analysis (variations on a theme of Stokes)*, Towards the exact WKB analysis of differential equations, linear or non linear (C. Howls, T. Kawai, and Y. Takei, eds.), Kyoto University Press, 2000, pp. 71–85.
19. H. Rüssmann, *Über das Verhalten analytischer Hamiltonscher Differentialgleichungen in der Nähe einer Gleichgewichtslösung*, Math. Annalen **154** (1964), 285–306.
20. C. Sevenheck, *Lagrange-Singularitäten*, Ph.D. thesis, J. Gutemberg Universität, Mainz, 2003, (In English), 168pp.
21. C. Sevenheck and D. van Straten, *Deformation of singular lagrangian subvarieties*, Math. Annalen **327** (2003), no. 1, 79–102.
22. D. van Straten, *On the Betti numbers of the Milnor fibre of a certain class of hypersurfaces singularities*, Singularities, representation of algebras and vector bundles (G.-M. Greuel and G. Trautmann, eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., no. 1273, Springer-Verlag, 1987, pp. 203–220.
23. J. Vey, *Sur certains sytèmes dynamiques séparables*, American Journal of Math **100** (1978), 591–614.
24. C. Voisin, *Sur la stabilité des sous-variétés lagrangiennes des variétés symplectiques holomorphes*, Complex projective geometry (Ellingsrud, Peskine, Sacchiero, and Stromme, eds.), Lecture Notes, no. 179, 1989, pp. 294–302.
25. A. Weinstein, *Lagrangian submanifolds and hamiltonian systems*, Annals of Math. **98** (1973), 377–410.

Fachbereich Mathematik 17, Staudingerweg 9, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, 55099 Mainz, Germany.
E-mail address: `garay@mathematik.uni-mainz.de`